

Transactive Control Architecture

Ron Ambrosio GridWise® Architecture Council IBM T.J. Watson Research Center





Transactive Approaches

- There are a variety of approaches today
 - Some are more centralized, some more distributed
- Common characteristics
 - Use of economic (business) constructs
 - Markets, economic signals, etc.
 - Address reliability (operational) objectives
 - Valuation of objectives and constraints in decisions
 - Both operational and business objectives and constraints
- How do we design a framework to support this?
 - Focus on supporting the distributed model
 - Centralized model becomes a simplification of that



Transactive Control Definition

A highly-distributed overlay approach utilizing economic signals as a distributed control system signal

• All business and operational objectives and constraints can be valuated and thereby incorporated into the signal

Transactive Incentive Signal (TIS): reflects economic valuation of electricity at any given point



Transactive Feedback Signal (TFS): reflects anticipated consumption in time



Propagation of signals

Incentive and feedback signals propagate through an information network that overlays the electrical network; the signals are processed and possibly modified by the Transactive Control Nodes in this network





Example Incentive Signal Flow





Transactive Control Node





So what kind of architecture framework will support this?





Operational Integration Today

Conventional Enterprise Computing





Closed Loop Control in the Business Domain



Closed-loop methods are emerging in the enterprise:

 Same "Sense & Respond" paradigm as embedded control systems

Enables unified view of enterprise and operational processes:

- Common programming abstraction
- Shared or interoperable infrastructure





Establish a flexible eventbased integration model



Security Domain







A Cyber-Physical System

